

# Introduction to Hacking PostgreSQL

Neil Conway  
neilc@samurai.com

May 21, 2007

# Outline

- 1 Prerequisites
  - Why Should You Hack On PostgreSQL?
  - What Skills Will You Need?
  - What Tools Should You Use?
- 2 The Architecture of PostgreSQL
  - System Architecture
  - Components of the Backend
- 3 Common Code Conventions
  - Memory Management
  - Error Handling
- 4 Community Processes
- 5 Sample Patch
- 6 Conclusion

# Outline

## 1 Prerequisites

- Why Should You Hack On PostgreSQL?
- What Skills Will You Need?
- What Tools Should You Use?

## 2 The Architecture of PostgreSQL

- System Architecture
- Components of the Backend

## 3 Common Code Conventions

- Memory Management
- Error Handling

## 4 Community Processes

## 5 Sample Patch

## 6 Conclusion

# Why Hack On PostgreSQL?

## Possible Reasons

- Databases are fun!

# Why Hack On PostgreSQL?

## Possible Reasons

- Databases are fun!
- Contribute to the community
  - We need more reviewers

# Why Hack On PostgreSQL?

## Possible Reasons

- Databases are fun!
- Contribute to the community
  - We need more reviewers
- Understand how PostgreSQL works more deeply

# Why Hack On PostgreSQL?

## Possible Reasons

- Databases are fun!
- Contribute to the community
  - We need more reviewers
- Understand how PostgreSQL works more deeply
- Become a better programmer
  - The PostgreSQL source is a good example to learn from

# Why Hack On PostgreSQL?

## Possible Reasons

- Databases are fun!
- Contribute to the community
  - We need more reviewers
- Understand how PostgreSQL works more deeply
- Become a better programmer
  - The PostgreSQL source is a good example to learn from
- Commercial opportunities



## Essential

- Some knowledge of C
  - Fortunately, C is easy
- Some familiarity with Unix and basic Unix programming
  - Postgres development on Win32 is increasingly feasible

## Helpful, but not essential

- Unix systems programming
- DBMS internals
- Autotools-foo
- Performance analysis

... depending on what you want to hack on

## The Basics

`$CC`, Bison, Flex, CVS, autotools

- Configure flags: `enable-depend`, `enable-debug`, `enable-cassert`
- Consider `CFLAGS=-O0` for easier debugging (and faster builds)
  - With GCC, this suppresses some important warnings

# Development Tools

## The Basics

\$CC, Bison, Flex, CVS, autotools

- Configure flags: `enable-depend`, `enable-debug`, `enable-cassert`
- Consider `CFLAGS=-O0` for easier debugging (and faster builds)
  - With GCC, this suppresses some important warnings

## Indexing The Source

- A tool like `tags`, `cscope` or `glimpse` is essential when navigating *any* large code base
  - “What is the definition of this function/type?”
  - “What are all the call-sites of this function?”
  - `src/tools/make_[ce]tags`

# Other Tools

- A debugger is often necessary: most developers use `gdb`
  - Or a front-end like `ddd`
  - Even `MSVC`?
- `ccache` and `distcc` are useful, especially on slower machines
- `valgrind` is useful for debugging memory errors and memory leaks in client apps
  - Not as useful for finding backend memory leaks

# Other Tools

- A debugger is often necessary: most developers use `gdb`
  - Or a front-end like `ddd`
  - Even `MSVC`?
- `ccache` and `distcc` are useful, especially on slower machines
- `valgrind` is useful for debugging memory errors and memory leaks in client apps
  - Not as useful for finding backend memory leaks

## Profiling

- `gprof` is the traditional choice; various bugs and limitations
  - Use `--enable-profiling` to reduce the pain
- `callgrind` works well, nice UI (`kcachegrind`)
- `oprofile` is good at system-level performance analysis
- `DTrace`

## Understatement

The DocBook toolchain is less than perfect

## Understatement

The DocBook toolchain is less than perfect

## Authoring SGML

- I don't know of a good SGML editor, other than Emacs
  - Writing DocBook markup by hand is labour-intensive but not hard: copy conventions of nearby markup
- `make check` does a quick syntax check
- `make draft` is useful for previewing changes

# Patch Management

- Most development is done by mailing around patches
  - `echo "diff -c -N -p" >> ~/.cvsrc`
  - `cvs diff > ~/my_patch-vN.patch`
- `interdiff` is a useful tool: “exactly what did I change between v5 and v6?”
- Remote cvs is **slow**: setup a local mirror of the CVS repository
  - `cvsup`, `csup`, `rsync`, `svnsync` (soon!)
- To include newly-added files in a CVS diff, either use a local CVS mirror or `cvsutils`
- For larger projects: akpm’s Quilt, or a distributed VCS
  - For example, Postgres-R uses Monotone



- If you're not using a good programmer's text editor, start
- Teach your editor to obey the Postgres coding conventions:
  - Hard tabs, with a tab width of 4 spaces
  - Similar to Allman/BSD style; just copy the surrounding code
- Using the Postgres coding conventions makes it more likely that your patch will be promptly reviewed and applied

# Useful Texts

- SQL-92, SQL:1999, SQL:2003, and SQL:200n
  - <http://www.wiscorp.com/SQLStandards.html> (“draft”)
  - There are some books and presentations that are more human-readable
  - There's a samizdat plaintext version of SQL-92
- SQL references for Oracle, DB2, ...
- A textbook on the design of database management systems
  - I personally like *Database Management Systems* by Ramakrishnan and Gehrke
- Books on the toolchain (C, Yacc, autotools, ...) and operating system kernels

# Outline

- 1 Prerequisites
  - Why Should You Hack On PostgreSQL?
  - What Skills Will You Need?
  - What Tools Should You Use?
- 2 The Architecture of PostgreSQL
  - System Architecture
  - Components of the Backend
- 3 Common Code Conventions
  - Memory Management
  - Error Handling
- 4 Community Processes
- 5 Sample Patch
- 6 Conclusion

# The Postmaster

## Lifecycle

- 1 Initialize essential subsystems; perform XLOG recovery to restore the database to a consistent state

# The Postmaster

## Lifecycle

- 1 Initialize essential subsystems; perform XLOG recovery to restore the database to a consistent state
- 2 Attach to shared memory segment (SysV IPC), initialize shared data structures

# The Postmaster

## Lifecycle

- 1 Initialize essential subsystems; perform XLOG recovery to restore the database to a consistent state
- 2 Attach to shared memory segment (SysV IPC), initialize shared data structures
- 3 Fork off daemon processes: autovacuum launcher, stats daemon, bgwriter, sysloger

# The Postmaster

## Lifecycle

- 1 Initialize essential subsystems; perform XLOG recovery to restore the database to a consistent state
- 2 Attach to shared memory segment (SysV IPC), initialize shared data structures
- 3 Fork off daemon processes: autovacuum launcher, stats daemon, bgwriter, sysloger
- 4 Bind to TCP socket, listen for incoming connections
  - For each new connection, spawn a backend
  - Periodically check for child death, launch replacements or perform recovery

# Daemon Processes

## Types of Processes

**autovacuum launcher:** Periodically start autovacuum workers

**bgwriter:** Flush dirty buffers to disk, perform periodic checkpoints

**stats collector:** Accepts run-time stats from backends via UDP

**syslogger:** Collect log output from other processes, write to file(s)

**normal backend:** Handles a single client session



# Daemon Processes

## Types of Processes

**autovacuum launcher:** Periodically start autovacuum workers

**bgwriter:** Flush dirty buffers to disk, perform periodic checkpoints

**stats collector:** Accepts run-time stats from backends via UDP

**syslogger:** Collect log output from other processes, write to file(s)

**normal backend:** Handles a single client session

## Inter-Process Communication

- Most shared data is communicated via a shared memory segment
- Signals, semaphores, and pipes also used as appropriate
  - Stats collector uses UDP on the loopback interface
- Subprocesses inherit the state of the postmaster after `fork()`

# Consequences

## Advantages

- Address space protection: most of the time, *not possible* for misbehaving processes to crash the entire DBMS
- IPC and modifications to shared data are **explicit**: all state is process-private by default

# Consequences

## Advantages

- Address space protection: most of the time, *not possible* for misbehaving processes to crash the entire DBMS
- IPC and modifications to shared data are **explicit**: all state is process-private by default

## Disadvantages

- Shared memory segment is **statically-sized** at startup
  - Managing arbitrarily-sized shared data is problematic
- Some shared operations can be awkward: e.g. using multiple processors to evaluate a single query

# Backend Lifecycle

## Backend Lifecycle

- 1 Postmaster accepts a new connection, forks a new backend, then closes its copy of the TCP socket
  - All communication occurs between the backend and the client

# Backend Lifecycle

## Backend Lifecycle

- 1 Postmaster accepts a new connection, forks a new backend, then closes its copy of the TCP socket
  - All communication occurs between the backend and the client
- 2 Backend enters the “frontend/backend” protocol:
  - 1 Authenticate the client
  - 2 “Simple query protocol”: accept a query, evaluate it, return result set
  - 3 When the client disconnects, the backend exits

# Stages In Query Processing

## Major Components

- 1 The **parser** - lex & parse the query string
- 2 The **rewriter** - apply rewrite rules
- 3 The **optimizer** - determine an efficient query plan
- 4 The **executor** - execute a query plan
- 5 The **utility processor** - process DDL like CREATE TABLE

# The Parser

- Lex and parse the query string submitted by the user
- **Lexing**: divide the input string into a sequence of *tokens*
  - Postgres uses GNU Flex
- **Parsing**: construct an abstract syntax tree (AST) from sequence of tokens
  - Postgres uses GNU Bison
  - The elements of the AST are known as **parse nodes**

# The Parser

- Lex and parse the query string submitted by the user
- **Lexing**: divide the input string into a sequence of *tokens*
  - Postgres uses GNU Flex
- **Parsing**: construct an abstract syntax tree (AST) from sequence of tokens
  - Postgres uses GNU Bison
  - The elements of the AST are known as **parse nodes**
- Produces a “raw parsetree”: a linked list of parse nodes
  - Parse nodes are defined in `include/nodes/parsenodes.h`
- Typically a simple mapping between grammar productions and parse node structure



# Semantic Analysis

- In the parser itself, only syntactic analysis is done; basic semantic checks are done in a subsequent “analysis phase”
  - `parser/analyze.c` and related code under `parser/`
- Resolve column references, considering schema path and query context
  - ```
SELECT a, b, c FROM t1, t2, x.t3
WHERE x IN (SELECT t1 FROM b)
```
- Verify that referenced schemas, tables and columns exist
- Check that the types used in expressions are consistent
- In general, check for errors that are impossible or difficult to detect in the parser itself

# Rewriter, Planner

- The analysis phase produces a Query, which is the query's parse tree (Abstract Syntax Tree) with additional annotations
- The rewriter applies rewrite rules, including view definitions. Input is a Query, output is zero or more Queries
- The planner takes a Query and produces a Plan, which encodes how the query should be executed
  - A query plan is a tree of Plan nodes, each describing a physical operation
  - Only needed for “optimizable” statements (INSERT, DELETE, SELECT, UPDATE)

- Each node in the plan tree describes a **physical** operation
  - Scan a relation, perform an index scan, join two relations, perform a sort, apply a predicate, perform projection, ...

- Each node in the plan tree describes a **physical** operation
  - Scan a relation, perform an index scan, join two relations, perform a sort, apply a predicate, perform projection, ...
- The planner arranges the operations into a **plan tree** that describes the **data flow** between operations
- Tuples flow from the leaves of the tree to the root
  - Leaf nodes are *scans*: no input, produce a stream of tuples
  - Joins are binary operators: accept two inputs (child nodes), produce a single output
  - The root of the tree produces the query's result set
- Therefore, the executor is “trivial”: simply ask the root plan node to repeatedly produce result tuples

# Query Optimization

- SQL is (ostensibly) a declarative query language
  - The query specifies the properties the result set must satisfy, not the procedure the DBMS must follow to produce the result set
- For a typical SQL query, there are many equivalent query plans

# Query Optimization

- SQL is (ostensibly) a declarative query language
  - The query specifies the properties the result set must satisfy, not the procedure the DBMS must follow to produce the result set
- For a typical SQL query, there are many equivalent query plans

**scan types:** Seq scan, index scan, bitmap index scan

**join order:** Inner joins are commutative: reordered freely

**join types:** Sort-merge join, hash join, nested loops

**aggregation:** Hashed aggregation, aggregation by sorting

**predicates:** Predicate push down, evaluation order

**rewrites:** Subqueries and set operations → joins,  
outer joins → inner joins, function inlining, ...

# Tasks Of The Query Optimizer

## Basic Optimizer Task

Of the many ways in which we could evaluate a query,  
which would be the cheapest to execute?

# Tasks Of The Query Optimizer

## Basic Optimizer Task

Of the many ways in which we could evaluate a query, which would be the cheapest to execute?

## Two Distinct Subproblems

- 1 Enumerate all the possible plans for a given query
- 2 Estimate the cost of a given query plan

In practice, too slow → do both steps at the same time



# Stages in Query Optimization

## The System R Algorithm

- 1 Rewrite the query to make it more amenable to optimization: pull up subqueries, rewrite IN clauses, simplify constant expressions, reduce outer joins, ...

# Stages in Query Optimization

## The System R Algorithm

- 1 Rewrite the query to make it more amenable to optimization: pull up subqueries, rewrite IN clauses, simplify constant expressions, reduce outer joins, . . .
- 2 Determine the *interesting* ways to access each base relation
  - Remember the cheapest estimated access path, plus the cheapest path for each distinct sort order

# Stages in Query Optimization

## The System R Algorithm

- 1 Rewrite the query to make it more amenable to optimization: pull up subqueries, rewrite IN clauses, simplify constant expressions, reduce outer joins, . . .
- 2 Determine the *interesting* ways to access each base relation
  - Remember the cheapest estimated access path, plus the cheapest path for each distinct sort order
- 3 Determine the *interesting* ways to join each pair of relations

# Stages in Query Optimization

## The System R Algorithm

- 1 Rewrite the query to make it more amenable to optimization: pull up subqueries, rewrite IN clauses, simplify constant expressions, reduce outer joins, ...
- 2 Determine the *interesting* ways to access each base relation
  - Remember the cheapest estimated access path, plus the cheapest path for each distinct sort order
- 3 Determine the *interesting* ways to join each pair of relations
- 4 ...

# Outline

- 1 Prerequisites
  - Why Should You Hack On PostgreSQL?
  - What Skills Will You Need?
  - What Tools Should You Use?
- 2 The Architecture of PostgreSQL
  - System Architecture
  - Components of the Backend
- 3 Common Code Conventions
  - Memory Management
  - Error Handling
- 4 Community Processes
- 5 Sample Patch
- 6 Conclusion

# The Postgres Object System: Nodes

- Postgres uses a simple object system with support for single inheritance. The root of the class hierarchy is Node:

```
typedef struct      typedef struct      typedef struct
{                  {                  {
    NodeTag type;   NodeTag type;   Parent parent;
} Node;           int    a_field;  int    b_field;
                  } Parent;       } Child;
```

- This relies on a C trick: you can treat a `Child *` like a `Parent *` since their initial fields are the same
- Unfortunately, this can require a lot of ugly casting
- The first field of *any* Node is a `NodeTag`, which can be used to determine a Node's specific type at runtime

## Basic Node Utility Functions

- Create a new Node: `makeNode()`

## Basic Node Utility Functions

- Create a new Node: `makeNode()`
- Run-time type testing via the `IsA()` macro



## Basic Node Utility Functions

- Create a new Node: `makeNode()`
- Run-time type testing via the `IsA()` macro
- Test if two nodes are equal: `equal()`

## Basic Node Utility Functions

- Create a new Node: `makeNode()`
- Run-time type testing via the `IsA()` macro
- Test if two nodes are equal: `equal()`
- Deep-copy a node: `copyObject()`

## Basic Node Utility Functions

- Create a new Node: `makeNode()`
- Run-time type testing via the `IsA()` macro
- Test if two nodes are equal: `equal()`
- Deep-copy a node: `copyObject()`
- Serialise a node to text: `nodeToString()`

## Basic Node Utility Functions

- Create a new Node: `makeNode()`
- Run-time type testing via the `IsA()` macro
- Test if two nodes are equal: `equal()`
- Deep-copy a node: `copyObject()`
- Serialise a node to text: `nodeToString()`
- Deserialise a node from text: `stringToNode()`

# Nodes: Hints

- *When you modify a node or add a new node, remember to update*
  - `nodes/equalfuncs.c`
  - `nodes/copyfuncs.c`
- You may have to update `nodes/outfuncs.c` and `nodes/readfuncs.c` if your Node is to be serialised/deserialised
- Grep for references to the node's type to make sure you don't forget to update anything
  - When adding a new node, look at how similar nodes are treated

# Memory Management

- Postgres uses **hierarchical, region-based** memory management, and it absolutely rocks
  - backend/util/mmgr
  - Similar concept to Tridge's `talloc()`, “arenas”, ...
- All memory allocations are made in a **memory context**
- Default context of allocation: `CurrentMemoryContext`
- `palloc()` allocates in CMC
- `MemoryContextAlloc()` allocates in a given context

## Memory Management, cont.

- Allocations can be freed individually via `pfree()`
- When a memory context is reset or deleted, all allocations in the context are released
  - Resetting contexts is both faster and less error-prone than releasing individual allocations
- Contexts are arranged in a tree; deleting/resetting a context deletes/resets its child contexts

# Memory Management Conventions

- You should **sometimes** `free()` your allocations
  - If the context of allocation is known to be short-lived, don't bother with `free()`
  - If the code might be invoked in an arbitrary memory context (e.g. utility functions), you should `free()`
  - You can't `free()` an arbitrary Node (no "deep free")
- The exact rules are a bit hazy :-)



# Memory Leaks

- Be aware of the memory allocation assumptions made by functions you call
- Memory leaks, *per se*, are rare in the backend
  - All memory is released eventually
  - A “leak” occurs when memory is allocated in a too-long-lived memory context: e.g. allocating some per-tuple resource in a per-txn context
  - `MemoryContextStats()` useful for locating the guilty context
- (Almost) never use `malloc()` in the backend

# Error Handling

- Most errors reported by `ereport()` or `eelog()`
  - `ereport()` is for user-visible errors, and allows more fields to be specified (`SQLSTATE`, `detail`, `hint`, etc.)
- Implemented via `longjmp`; conceptually similar to exceptions in other languages
  - `eelog(ERROR)` walks back up the stack to the closest error handling block; that block can either handle the error or re-throw it
  - The top-level error handler aborts the current transaction and resets the transaction's memory context
    - Releases all resources held by the transaction, including files, locks, memory, and buffer pins

# Guidelines For Error Handling

- Custom error handlers can be defined via `PG_TRY()`
- Think about error handling!
  - *Never* ignore the return values of system calls
- Should your function return an error code, or `ereport()` on failure?
  - Probably `ereport()` to save callers the trouble of checking for failure
  - *Unless* the caller can provide a better (more descriptive) error message, or might not consider the failure to be an actual error
- Use assertions (`Assert`) liberally to detect programming mistakes, but *never* errors the user might encounter

# Outline

- 1 Prerequisites
  - Why Should You Hack On PostgreSQL?
  - What Skills Will You Need?
  - What Tools Should You Use?
- 2 The Architecture of PostgreSQL
  - System Architecture
  - Components of the Backend
- 3 Common Code Conventions
  - Memory Management
  - Error Handling
- 4 Community Processes
- 5 Sample Patch
- 6 Conclusion

# Mailing Lists

- The vast majority of communication occurs on mailing lists
  - `pgsql-hackers` is the main list
  - `pgsql-patches` and `pgsql-committers` can be useful to learn from
- Written communication skills are important
  - Good developers are often good writers
- Some developers are on IRC; internals questions are welcome
  - `irc.freenode.net`, `#postgresql`

# Your First Patch

- *Step 1: Research and preparation*
  - Is your new feature actually useful? Does it just scratch your itch, or is it of general value?
  - Does it need to be implemented in the backend, or can it live in `pgfoundry`, `contrib/`, or elsewhere?
  - Does the SQL standard define similar or equivalent functionality?
    - What about Oracle, DB2, ...?
  - Has someone suggested this idea in the past?
    - Search the archives and TODO list
  - Most ideas are bad
  - **Don't** take the TODO list as gospel

# Sending A Proposal

- *Step 2*: Send a proposal for your feature to `pgsql-hackers`
  - Patches that appear without prior discussion risk wasting your time
- Discuss your proposed syntax and behaviour
  - Consider corner cases, and how the feature will relate to other parts of PostgreSQL (consistency is good)
  - Will any system catalog changes be required?
  - Backward-compatibility?
- Try to reach a consensus with `-hackers` on how the feature ought to behave

# Implementation

- *Step 4*: Begin implementing the feature
- A general strategy is to look at how similar parts of the system function
  - **Don't copy and paste** (IMHO)
    - Common source of errors
    - Instead, read through similar sections of code to try to understand how they work, and the APIs they are using
    - Implement (just) what you need, refactoring the existed APIs if required
- Ask for advice as necessary (`-hackers` or IRC)
  - Write down the issues you encounter as you write the code, include the list when you submit the patch
- Consider posting work-in-progress versions of the patch



# Testing, Documentation

- *Step 4: Update tools*
  - For example, if you've modified DDL syntax, update psql's tab completion
  - Add `pg_dump` support if necessary
- *Step 5: Testing*
  - Make sure the existing regression tests don't fail
  - **No compiler warnings**
  - Add new regression tests for the new feature
- *Step 6: Update documentation*
  - Writing good documentation is more important than getting the DocBook details completely correct
  - Add new index entries, if appropriate
  - Check documentation changes visually in a browser

# Submitting The Patch

- *Step 7: Submit the patch*
  - Use context diff format: `diff -c`
    - Unified diffs are okay for SGML changes
  - First, review **every** hunk of the patch
    - Is this hunk necessary?
    - Does it needlessly change existing code or whitespace?
    - Does it have any errors? Does it fail in corner cases? Is there a more elegant way to do this?
  - Work with a code reviewer to make any necessary changes
  - If your patch falls through the cracks, *be persistent*
    - The developers are busy and reviewing patches is difficult, time-consuming, and unglamorous work

# Outline

- 1 Prerequisites
  - Why Should You Hack On PostgreSQL?
  - What Skills Will You Need?
  - What Tools Should You Use?
- 2 The Architecture of PostgreSQL
  - System Architecture
  - Components of the Backend
- 3 Common Code Conventions
  - Memory Management
  - Error Handling
- 4 Community Processes
- 5 Sample Patch
- 6 Conclusion

# The TABLESAMPLE Clause

- The TABLESAMPLE clause is defined by SQL:2003 and implemented by SQL Server and DB2
  - Oracle calls it SAMPLE, slightly different syntax
- Example query:

```
SELECT avg(salary)
FROM emp TABLESAMPLE SYSTEM (50);
```

# The TABLESAMPLE Clause

- The TABLESAMPLE clause is defined by SQL:2003 and implemented by SQL Server and DB2
  - Oracle calls it SAMPLE, slightly different syntax

- Example query:

```
SELECT avg(salary)
FROM emp TABLESAMPLE SYSTEM (50);
```

- TODO item: “estimated\_count(\*)”

```
SELECT count(*) * 10
FROM t TABLESAMPLE SYSTEM (10);
```

# The TABLESAMPLE Clause

- The TABLESAMPLE clause is defined by SQL:2003 and implemented by SQL Server and DB2
  - Oracle calls it SAMPLE, slightly different syntax

- Example query:

```
SELECT avg(salary)
FROM emp TABLESAMPLE SYSTEM (50);
```

- TODO item: “estimated\_count(\*)”

```
SELECT count(*) * 10
FROM t TABLESAMPLE SYSTEM (10);
```

- Straightforward to implement, but requires modifying some interesting parts of the system
- <http://neilconway.org/tmp/tablesample.patch>

# What Does The Standard Say?

- Deciphering the SQL standard is notoriously difficult
  - I usually start with the index
- The BERNOULLI sample method sounds hard to implement
- REPEATABLE provides a way to seed the random number generator

# Implementation Ideas

## How Should We Implement Sampling?

- Simple approach: sequentially walk the heap, decide whether to skip a block using `random()` and the sampling percentage
- Therefore, add “sample scan” as a new scan type, analogous to sequential scan or index scan



# Implementation Ideas

## How Should We Implement Sampling?

- Simple approach: sequentially walk the heap, decide whether to skip a block using `random()` and the sampling percentage
- Therefore, add “sample scan” as a new scan type, analogous to sequential scan or index scan

## Deficiencies

- 1 Non-uniform sampling when either
  - row size is non-uniform
  - distribution of live tuples is non-uniform
- 2 Consumes a lot of entropy
- 3 Could be optimized to reduce random I/O

# Behavioral Questions

- 1 Can we specify TABLESAMPLE for non-base relation FROM-clause items? (Subqueries, SRFs, ...)

# Behavioral Questions

- 1 Can we specify TABLESAMPLE for non-base relation FROM-clause items? (Subqueries, SRFs, ...)
- 2 Can we specify TABLESAMPLE for UPDATE or DELETE?

# Behavioral Questions

- 1 Can we specify TABLESAMPLE for non-base relation FROM-clause items? (Subqueries, SRFs, ...)
- 2 Can we specify TABLESAMPLE for UPDATE or DELETE?
- 3 Can we sample from the results of an index scan?

# Behavioral Questions

- 1 Can we specify TABLESAMPLE for non-base relation FROM-clause items? (Subqueries, SRFs, ...)
- 2 Can we specify TABLESAMPLE for UPDATE or DELETE?
- 3 Can we sample from the results of an index scan?
- 4 How does this interact with inheritance? Joins?

# Implementation Plan

- 1 Modify the grammar to add support for parsing the TABLESAMPLE clause
- 2 Modify the nodes of the parse tree to allow TABLESAMPLE to be encoded in the AST

# Implementation Plan

- 1 Modify the grammar to add support for parsing the TABLESAMPLE clause
- 2 Modify the nodes of the parse tree to allow TABLESAMPLE to be encoded in the AST
- 3 Create a new executor node for sample-based scans of a relation
- 4 Modify the planner to choose sample scans when appropriate, and to estimate the cost of evaluating a sample scan

# Implementation Plan

- 1 Modify the grammar to add support for parsing the TABLESAMPLE clause
- 2 Modify the nodes of the parse tree to allow TABLESAMPLE to be encoded in the AST
- 3 Create a new executor node for sample-based scans of a relation
- 4 Modify the planner to choose sample scans when appropriate, and to estimate the cost of evaluating a sample scan
- 5 Implement the guts of the SampleScan executor node



# Implementation Plan

- 1 Modify the grammar to add support for parsing the TABLESAMPLE clause
- 2 Modify the nodes of the parse tree to allow TABLESAMPLE to be encoded in the AST
- 3 Create a new executor node for sample-based scans of a relation
- 4 Modify the planner to choose sample scans when appropriate, and to estimate the cost of evaluating a sample scan
- 5 Implement the guts of the SampleScan executor node
- 6 Add support for REPEATABLE
- 7 Add support for DELETE and UPDATE
- 8 Update documentation
  - Can't easily add regression tests

# Grammar Modifications

- Parsing TABLESAMPLE itself is quite easy
  - Add some new keywords: TABLESAMPLE and REPEATABLE must be made semi-reserved to avoid shift-reduce conflicts
- Checking SelectStmt reveals that `relation_expr` is the production for a base relation in the FROM clause with an optional alias and inheritance spec
- Unfortunately, `relation_expr` is also used by DDL commands, so create a new production and use it in the places we want to allow TABLESAMPLE

# Parse Node Updates

- New parse node for the data TABLESAMPLE clause
- Need to attach new parse node to the AST somehow
  - The parser constructs a RangeVar for each FROM clause entry, so use that

# Parse Node Updates

- New parse node for the data TABLESAMPLE clause
- Need to attach new parse node to the AST somehow
  - The parser constructs a RangeVar for each FROM clause entry, so use that

## Range Table

The parse-analysis phase constructs a “range table” consisting of the FROM clause elements

- When converting the FROM clause RVs into range table entries (RTEs), attach the TableSampleInfo

# Optimizer Terminology

- RelOptInfo:** Per-relation planner state. For each base rel or join, stores the estimated row count, row width, cheapest path, ...
- Path:** Planner state for a particular way accessing a relation (or join relation); each RelOptInfo has a list of candidate paths

# Optimizer Terminology

- RelOptInfo:** Per-relation planner state. For each base rel **or** join, stores the estimated row count, row width, cheapest path, ...
- Path:** Planner state for a particular way accessing a relation (or join relation); each RelOptInfo has a list of candidate paths
- Plan:** A “finalized” output path: a node of the plan tree passed to the executor
- Once the planner has decided on the optimal Path tree, produce a corresponding Plan tree

# Optimizer Modifications

- We need only modify stage 1 of the System R algorithm: finding the cheapest interesting paths for each base relation
  - Joins between sample scans not fundamentally different than normal joins
  - We *don't* need a SamplePath node; just use Path
- *Only* consider sample scans when a TABLESAMPLE clause is specified
- Simple cost estimation: assume we need to do a single I/O for each sampled page

# Plan Trees

- Review: the planner produces a tree of `Plan` nodes
  - `Plan` nodes are treated as immutable by the executor
- The executor constructs a tree of `PlanState` nodes to describe the run-time state of a plan-in-execution
  - Each `PlanState` is associated with exactly one `Plan` node
  - `PlanState.plan` holds a `PlanState`'s associated `Plan` node



# The “Iterator” API

Implemented By Each Executor Node

## Mandatory

**InitNode:** Given a `Plan` tree, construct a `PlanState` tree

**ProcNode:** Given a `PlanState` tree, return next result tuple

- Some plan nodes support bidirectional scans

**EndNode:** Shutdown a `PlanState` tree, releasing resources

# The “Iterator” API

Implemented By Each Executor Node

## Mandatory

**InitNode:** Given a `Plan` tree, construct a `PlanState` tree

**ProcNode:** Given a `PlanState` tree, return next result tuple

- Some plan nodes support bidirectional scans

**EndNode:** Shutdown a `PlanState` tree, releasing resources

## Optional

**ReScan:** Reset a `PlanState` so that it reproduces its output

**MarkPos:** Record the current position of a `PlanState`

**RestrPos:** Restore the position of a `PlanState` to last mark

# The Buffer Manager

- Storage is organized into **pages**: constant-sized units of bytes
  - Pages are identified by their page number within a given file
- Almost all I/O is not done directly: to access a page, a process asks the buffer manager for it

# The Buffer Manager

- Storage is organized into **pages**: constant-sized units of bytes
  - Pages are identified by their page number within a given file
- Almost all I/O is not done directly: to access a page, a process asks the buffer manager for it
- The buffer manager implements a hash table in shared memory, mapping page identifiers → buffers
  - If the requested page is in `shared_buffers`, return it
  - Otherwise, ask the kernel for it and stash it in `shared_buffers`
    - If there are no free buffers, replace an existing one
- Keep a **pin** on a page, to ensure it isn't replaced while in use

# Executor Terminology

**Block:** A page on disk. Identified by a `BlockNumber`

**Buffer:** A page in memory. The buffer manager loads blocks from disk into buffers (`shared_buffers`)

**OffsetNumber:** Identifies an item within a page

**Datum:** An instance of a data type in memory

**HeapTuple:** A collection of `Datums` with a certain schema

**EState:** Run-time state for a single instance of the executor

**Projection:** The act of applying a target list

# The Executor's TupleTable

- Tuples are passed around the executor using **TupleTableSlots**
- Different kinds of tuples:
  - Pointers into buffer pages
    - The output of a scan node, no projection
    - Need to drop pin on buffer when finished with tuple
  - Pointers into heap-allocated memory
    - Result of applying an expression: projection, SRFs, ...
    - Can be “minimal” tuples: no MVCC metadata needed
    - Need to pfree() tuple when finished
  - “Virtual” tuples
- The TupleTableSlot abstraction papers over all these details

# Implementing The Executor Node

## Initialization

- Most of this is boilerplate code :-)
- Initialize executor machinery needed to evaluate quals and do projection
- Read-lock the relation: no DDL changes allowed while we're scanning

# Implementing REPEATABLE

- Simple implementation: pass the repeat seed to `srandom()`



# Implementing REPEATABLE

- Simple implementation: pass the repeat seed to `srandom()`
- **Wrong**: if the execution of multiple sample scans is interleaved, they will stomp on the other's PRNG state
- Therefore, use `initstate()` to give each sample scan its own private PRNG state

# Supporting UPDATE and DELETE

## Implementation of UPDATE and DELETE

- Run the executor to get “result tuples”
- Mark the result tuples as expired (“deleted by my transaction”) on disk
- If UPDATE, insert a new tuple

# Supporting UPDATE and DELETE

## Implementation of UPDATE and DELETE

- Run the executor to get “result tuples”
- Mark the result tuples as expired (“deleted by my transaction”) on disk
- If UPDATE, insert a new tuple

## TABLESAMPLE support

- Quite easy: basically comes for free!
- `relation_expr` is already used by the DELETE and UPDATE
  - Modify to use `relation_expr_opt_sample`
- Hackup parse-analysis to attach `TableSampleInfo`

# Possible Improvements

- 1 Implement the **BERNOULLI** sample method

# Possible Improvements

- 1 Implement the BERNOULLI sample method
- 2 Support non-integer sample percentage and repeat seed

# Possible Improvements

- 1 Implement the BERNOULLI sample method
- 2 Support non-integer sample percentage and repeat seed
- 3 Take advantage of optimizer statistics to produce a more accurate sample

# Possible Improvements

- 1 Implement the BERNOULLI sample method
- 2 Support non-integer sample percentage and repeat seed
- 3 Take advantage of optimizer statistics to produce a more accurate sample
- 4 Support mark-and-restore; allow a SampleScan to be re-scanned when possible

# Possible Improvements

- 1 Implement the BERNOULLI sample method
- 2 Support non-integer sample percentage and repeat seed
- 3 Take advantage of optimizer statistics to produce a more accurate sample
- 4 Support mark-and-restore; allow a SampleScan to be re-scanned when possible
- 5 Provide information about the degree of confidence in the sampled results



# Possible Improvements

- 1 Implement the BERNOULLI sample method
- 2 Support non-integer sample percentage and repeat seed
- 3 Take advantage of optimizer statistics to produce a more accurate sample
- 4 Support mark-and-restore; allow a SampleScan to be re-scanned when possible
- 5 Provide information about the degree of confidence in the sampled results
- 6 “Page at a time” scan mode

# Outline

- 1 Prerequisites
  - Why Should You Hack On PostgreSQL?
  - What Skills Will You Need?
  - What Tools Should You Use?
- 2 The Architecture of PostgreSQL
  - System Architecture
  - Components of the Backend
- 3 Common Code Conventions
  - Memory Management
  - Error Handling
- 4 Community Processes
- 5 Sample Patch
- 6 Conclusion

# Next Steps

- 1 Sign up to the development lists
- 2 Setup your local development environment
- 3 Participate in development discussions
  - Read design proposals, ask questions/give feedback
  - Try to reproduce (and fix!) reported bugs
  - Look at proposed patches
  - Help out with administrivia, contribute to the documentation
- 4 Read the code!
- 5 Look for a small project that piques your interest, and get started!

Any questions?